

Association of Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas, Inc.

534 S. Kansas, Suite 33, Topeka, KS 66603 Telephone (785) 234-4773 Fax (785) 234-3189 Website: www.acmhck.org

Michael J. Hammond Executive Director

Testimony to House Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee on House Bill 2498

February 1, 2012

Madam Chair and members of the Committee, my name is Colin Thomasset, I am the Policy and Research Analyst for the Association Community Mental Health Centers of Kansas, Inc. The Association represents the 27 licensed Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCs) in Kansas who provide home and community-based, as well as outpatient mental health services in all 105 counties in Kansas, 24-hours a day, seven days a week.

In Kansas, CMHCs are the local Mental Health Authorities coordinating the delivery of publicly funded community-based mental health services. The CMHC system is state and county funded and locally administered. In Kansas, you first must be designated by your County to serve as the CMHC to the county residents, then you must secure a license from the Kansas Department of Social and Rehabilitation Services (SRS), to become the publicly funded CMHC and recognized as such by the State of Kansas. Consequently, service delivery decisions are made at the community level, closest to the residents that require mental health treatment. Each CMHC has a defined and discrete geographical service area. Together, they employ over 4,500 professionals.

The CMHCs provide services to Kansans of all ages with a diverse range of presenting problems. Together, this system of 27 licensed CMHCs form an integral part of the total mental health system in Kansas. As part of licensing regulations, CMHCs are required to provide services to all Kansans needing them, regardless of their ability to pay. This makes the community mental health system the "safety net" for Kansans with mental health needs. Collectively, the CMHC system serves over 123,000 Kansans with mental illness.

The Association is supportive of House Bill 2498, which would allow mentally ill offenders to enter into diversion agreements. The bill as written provides a legal framework to divert individuals with mental illness to mental health treatment programs rather than sending them to jail. We view this bill as a positive step towards getting persons with severe and persistent mental illness into treatment and, ultimately, out of the criminal justice system. Data illustrates just how much of an issue mental illness in the criminal justice system has become, with recent research from the Bureau of Justice Statistics finding that 56% of state prisoners having symptoms, or a recent history of mental health problems.¹

However, while very supportive of this concept, our members (the CMHCs) are concerned about a number of factors related to the implementation of this bill. Funding for treatment of persons with mental illness remains a clear hurdle to successful implementation, with grant funding to CMHCs having been reduced by 65 percent since FY 2008. We were pleased to hear the Chair last week speak to this fact in the Mental Health Caucus. Resources vary across the State, corresponding roughly with population size. The CMHCs in rural areas operate on smaller budgets, with less

House Corrections and Juvenile Justice Committee

2012 Session

Date 2-1-12

Page 1 of 2

revenue, and increasingly smaller populations with a decreasing tax base. These headwinds create a situation where our rural CMHCs would face a much more difficult time in implementation versus our more urban CMHCs.

Additionally, on the topic of funding, the CMHCs operate on a sliding fee scale that doesn't cover the actual cost of providing mental health treatment. Medicaid rules also present another hurdle in that CMHCs are not allowed to bill Medicaid for court ordered services. So if an offender is eligible for Medicaid, we would not be able to collect those dollars to offset our costs. While the bill stipulates that offenders must pay all fees associated with the program, most offenders referred will not have the resources to pay for the cost of providing the service, and therefore further drain our limited and reduced resources for yet a growing population seeking services.

The devil is certainly in the details of how these diversion programs are implemented. While this bill only lays out the framework, and does not mandate adoption, the Association is doubtful that it will achieve positive impact across the State without funding being properly addressed. The funding needs include resources for staffing as well as for service delivery. We believe a Qualified Mental Health Professional (QMHP) will be needed at each CMHC to serve as the administrator and be a liaison with the District Attorney/Court. We know from our most recent salary survey that the Statewide average salary for a current QMHP in our system is approximately \$44,000. That would mean we would need staffing resources in the amount of \$1,188,000. We know from Medicaid data that the average annual cost to serve an SPMI adult is approximately \$5,000. According to the American Correctional Association, 16% of inmates in local jails suffer from a mental illness. According to Jailnation.com, Kansas' local jail capacity is 6,053. Assuming our jails are at capacity, approximately 970 inmates would have mental illness. If those were all eligible for diversion, the resources needed, on average, would be \$4,850,000.

In addition to the funding challenges, the issue of tort protection for CMHCs continues to be a concern. Case law has found that because CMCHs are not named in the Kansas Tort Claims Act, that we are not protected under the governmental immunity law for even work ordered by the courts. There have been efforts in the past around this issue specifically, and unfortunately no action has been taken. The Association supports limited liability protection for CMHCs as a public provider and we support amending the Kansas Tort Claims Act to include CMHCs as an agency of the state, political subdivision or municipality because a significant portion of our funding comes from public funding sources and we are providing a service that is essentially a government-provided or funded service. Without this protection, CMHCs fear there will be increased risk for liability and that will in turn significantly increase malpractice rates, just as a result of taking on this new population.

Madam Chair and members of the Committee, I thank you for allowing me to testify before you and I am happy to stand for any questions.

¹ Doris J. James and Lauren E. Glaze, "Mental Health Problems of Prison and Jail Inmates," Bureau of Justice Statistics, September 2006, http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/abstract/mhppji.htm (accessed September 21, 2009).

Mental Health Reform (pays for uninsured/underinsured Kansans who have no other resources - over 70,000 Kansans)

						-\$33,442,773	Total
						-\$9,760,000	al Share Reduction
						-\$7,240,000	eduction
						-\$17,000,000	FY12 Medicaid Spending Reduction - SRS directive
						-\$4,500,000	Federal Share Reduction
						-\$2,300,000	SGF Reduction
000	-\$5,000,000	ation	Budget Recommendation	FY13 Governor's B		-\$6,800,000	FY11 Medicaid Spending Reduction - SRS directive
 	lmpact	lre	Family Centered System of Care	Family Centere		-\$6,638,543	Federal Share Reduction
						-\$3,004,230	SGF Reduction
000	-\$1,800,000			FY12 SRS Cut		-\$9,642,773	FY10 Governor's Allotments - 10% Reduction
ř	Impact	าร	Inpatient Screens	Non-Medicaid I		impact	Medicaid (PAHP Providers)
		-53.36%	1	-\$560,285	•	\$489,715	- 13 Governor a budget Reconfilleridation
		-53.36%	8	-\$560,285		\$489,715	TY12 Option of District Decomposition
	•	-53.36%	•	-\$560,285		\$489,715	TY11
		-53.36%	-53,36%	-\$560,285	-\$560,285	\$489,715	FY10 Omnibus Bill
	•					\$1,050,000	FY09
	•	Difference	% Difference	Impact	Impact	Amount	
+ + · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Cumulative	-			•	
-\$64.121.342		alization)	are at risk of hospitalization)	•	nedications to treat	s persons in need of r	Community Support Medication Program (supports persons in need of medications to treat mental illness who
-\$5,000,000	FY 2013	-45.73%	1	-\$3,126,294	,	\$3,710,705	FY13 Governor's Budget Recommendation
-\$18,800,000	FY 2012	-45.73%	•	-\$3,126,294	•	\$3,710,705	FY12
-\$6,800,000	FY 2011	-45.73%	r	-\$3,126,294	1	\$3,710,705	FY11
-\$22,529,352	FY 2010	-45.73%	-11.15%	-\$3,126,294	-\$465,552	\$3,710,705	FY10 Allotment (reduction from 18 months to 12 months)
-\$1,800,000	FY 2009	-38.92%	-38.92%	-\$2,660,742	-\$2,660,742	\$4,176,257	FY10 Reduction (reduction from 24 months to 18 months)
-\$9,191,990	FY 2008					\$6,836,999	FY09 (24 months)
Totals By Fiscal Year (AF)	Totals By	Difference	% Difference	Impact	Impact	Amount	+Υ
		Cumulative		Cumulative			į
				disability benefits)	nination for federal	eople awaiting detern	MediKan - Mental Health (provides medical benefits to people awaiting determination for federal disability benefits)
		-65.00%	ı	-\$20,191,990	1	\$10,874,340	FY13 Governor's Budget Recommendation
		-65.00%	1	-\$20,191,990	•	\$10,874,340	FY12
		-65.00%	1	-\$20,191,990	1	\$10,874,340	FY11
-\$43.222.799		-65.00%	-26.89%	-\$20,191,990	-\$4,000,000	\$10,874,340	FY10 Governor's Allotments
-\$5,000,000	FY 2013	-52.12%	-14.39%	-\$16,191,990	-\$2,500,000	\$14,874,340	FY10 Omnibus Bill
-\$9,040,000	FY 2012	-44.07%	-20.57%	-\$13,691,990	-\$4,500,000	\$17,374,340	FY10 Budget Bill
-\$2,300,000	FY 2011	-35.38%	-8.23%	-\$10,991,990	-\$1,800,000	\$20,074,340	FY09 (Revised - Governor's 3% cut to SRS)
-\$15,890,809	FY 2010	-29.59%	•	-\$9,191,990	•	\$21,874,340	FY09 (Base)
-\$1.800.000	FY 2009	-29.59%	-29.59%	-\$9,191,990	-\$9,191,990	\$21,874,340	FY08
-\$9.191.990	FY 2008					\$31,066,330	FY07
Totals By Fiscal Year (SGF)	Totals By	Difference	% Difference	Impact	Impact	Amount	FY
		Cumulative	•	Cumulative			: .