Environmental Activity Overview and Update of Substantive

Joint Committee on Energy & Environmental Policy November 19, 2012

Bill Eastman Director, Environmental Services Westar Energy

Cross States Air Pollution Transport Rule (aka CAIR, CATR)

- Creates new SO2, NOx and seasonal NOx trading programs
- August 2012 DC Circuit Court vacated the rule in its entirety.
- October 2012 USEPA request a rehearing before the entire industry petitioners regarding USEPA's rehearing request. court; DC Circuit Court orders briefings from States and
- ✓ LIKELY IMPACT: Vacating the Rule in its entirety alleviates significant compliance issues for Westar. Westar's reliability concerns and likely cost impacts to customers. Even if the rule is later reinstated it is not expected to create
- NOTE: Will continue to monitor the Court's activity regarding the rehearing request.

Mercury & Air Toxic Standard (aka MATS, Utility MACT, Utility HAPs)

- Focus is mercury, non-mercury metals, acid gases & organics
- April 16, 2012: Final rule effective date
- Westar has four years to comply after receiving KDHE approval of our compliance plan.
- ✓ LIKELY IMPACT: Will require installation of additional emission granting a fourth year for compliance Westar anticipates minimal assisted in Westar's preparation for the MATS rule. With KDHE impacts on operations control equipment although an integrated emission control strategy

Jeffrey Energy Center Water Consent Agreement (aka JEC Water Agreement)

- Rebuilt FGD scrubbers resulted in a change to discharge water quality
- Pilot wetland system operational and largely successful
- ✓ COMPLIANCE PLAN: Full scale wetland with the addition of a sultate precipitation pre-treatment system. Wetland and sulfate pre-treatment system design will begin in late 2012 with our consent order deadline construction to start in 2013 and concluding in early 2014 meeting

Cooling Water Intake Structures/Water (aka 316(b))

- April, 2011: Proposed rule issued
- Final rule date likely in mid-2013
- For units with once-through cooling (Wolf Creek, La Cygne) it could require adoption of closed-loop cooling system
- ✓ LIKELY IMPACTS: Intake screen modifications at our once-through systems and possibly minimal changes to our closed cycle system water intakes

Coal Combustion Residuals (aka CCR, CCW, CCB, Coal Ash)

- June 2010: Proposed rule issued
- Final rule expected early 2013
- Phased in over 5-7 years

✓ LIKELY IMPACTS:

- changes or replacement of existing settling ponds,
- expansions or re-design of existing landfills, and/or
-) new landfill construction.

Steam Electric Water Effluent Guidelines (aka Surface Water Standards)

- Specific limitations expected for FGD scrubber discharge
- EPA delaying proposed rule until late 2012
- Final rule delayed to mid-2014

✓ LIKELY IMPACT: Water in contact with coal combustion residuals treatment prior to discharge. to minimize or eliminate this contact or require additional water will likely not meet the new standards requiring operational changes

Quality Standards) SO₂ NAAQS (aka SO₂ National Ambient Air

- Final rule: June 2010
- Kansas must submit SIP by June 2013
- Actual compliance deadline is not clearly defined causing significant confusion (2014 or 2017)
- \checkmark LIKELY IMPACT: Smaller coal-fired plants with no SO₂ control this NAAQS for Westar scrubbed units. Compliance with MATS requirements will assist in compliance with may require dry sorbent injection (DSI) to reduce emissions

Ambient Air Quality Standards) PM 2.5 NAAQS (aka PM 2.5 National

- All NAAQS have a rolling review period required in the statute
- Westar is required to understand this schedule and plan accordingly
- On June 24, 2012, EPA did propose to strengthen the annual PM2.5 NAAQS.
- ✓ LIKELY IMPACT: Westar is evaluating the impact this proposed rule may have on our operations

10

GHG NSPS

- EPA proposed April 13, 2012
- First-ever CO₂ emission rate limit: 1,000 pounds per MWHr gross output
- EPA indicates only governs new units
- Westar's Stateline Combined Cycle Plant is only fossil-fueled plant meeting the proposed GHG rate today.
- No projected final rule date has been set and with expected litigation it will likely be some time before this rule is implemented.
- ✓ LIKELY IMPACT: Exact impact is hard to evaluate until a final rule generation would be at risk is completed, however if rule is finalized as proposed future coal