Steven J. Anderson, CPA, MBA, Director



phone: 785-296-2436 fax: 785-296-0231 steve.anderson@budget.ks.gov

Sam Brownback, Governor

March 1, 2012

The Honorable Les Donovan, Chairperson Senate Committee on Assessment and Taxation Statehouse, Room 123-E Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Senator Donovan:

SUBJECT: Fiscal Note for SB 442 by Senate Committee on Ways and Means

In accordance with KSA 75-3715a, the following fiscal note concerning SB 442 is respectfully submitted to your committee.

SB 442 would provide a property tax exemption for health clubs and would exempt all health club membership dues from sales taxes. The bill defines a health club as any corporation, partnership, unincorporated association, or other business enterprise whose primary purpose is to offer facilities that contain cardio, weight training or strength conditioning equipment for the preservation, maintenance, encouragement or development of physical fitness in return for the payment of a fee entitling the buyer to use the facilities. The exemptions would take effect beginning in tax year 2013.

Estimated State Fiscal Effect						
	FY 2012	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2013		
	SGF	All Funds	SGF	All Funds		
Revenue			(\$3,110,000)	(\$3,515,000)		
Expenditure			\$201,575	\$201,575		
FTE Pos.						

The Department of Revenue estimates that SB 442 would decrease state revenues by \$3.5 million in FY 2013. Of that total, the State General Fund is estimated to decrease by \$3,110,000 in FY 2013, while the State Highway Fund is estimated to decrease by \$390,000 in FY 2013 and the state building funds are estimated to decrease by a total of \$15,000 in FY 2013. The decrease in revenues and how the November 4, 2011 consensus revenue estimate for FY 2013 would be affected are shown in the following table:

The Honorable Les Donovan, Chairperson March 1, 2012 Page 2—442

Receipt Description	Consensus Revenue Estimates (Nov. 4, 2011)	Change in Revenue FY 2013	Proposed Adjusted CRE FY 2013	
Motor Carrier	\$ 21,000	\$	\$ 21,000	
Income Taxes:				
Individual	3,065,000		3,065,000	
Corporate	240,000		240,000	
Financial Institutions	24,000		24,000	
Excise Taxes:				
Retail Sales	2,200,000	(3,110)	2,196,890	
Compensating Use	335,000		335,000	
Cigarette	92,000		92,000	
Corporate Franchise	6,000		6,000	
Severance	102,800		102,800	
All Other Excise Taxes	96,000		96,000	
Other Taxes	141,000		141,000	
Total Taxes	\$6,322,800	(\$ 3,110)	\$6,319,690	
Other Revenues:				
Interest	\$ 7,400	\$	\$ 7,400	
Transfers	(90,300)		(90,300)	
Agency Earnings	51,500		51,500	
Total Other Revenues	(\$ 31,400)	\$	(\$ 31,400)	
Total Receipts	\$6,291,400	(\$ 3,110)	\$6,288,290	

Effect on FY 2013 Consensus Revenue Estimates (Dollars in Thousands)

The fiscal effect to state revenues during subsequent years would be as follows:

	<u>FY 2014</u>	<u>FY 2015</u>	<u>FY 2016</u>	<u>FY 2017</u>
State General Fund	(\$2,680,000)	(\$2,780,000)	(\$2,880,000)	(\$2,990,000)
State Highway Fund	(610,000)	(630,000)	(650,000)	(680,000)
	(\$3,290,000)	(\$3,410,000)	(\$3,530,000)	(\$3,670,000)

To formulate these estimates, the Department of Revenue reviewed data on the amount of state sales taxes that were remitted under the business classification of fitness and recreational sports centers (NAICS Code 71394). In FY 2011, the Department indicates that it received \$4.3 million in state sales taxes from this category. Assuming that 80.0 percent of the taxes remitted are from membership dues, then the exemption would reduce state sales tax collections by approximately \$3.5 million.

The Honorable Les Donovan, Chairperson March 1, 2012 Page 3—442

The Department of Revenue does not have specific data on the number of health clubs in the state that would qualify for this new property tax exemption or the assessed valuation of that property. To formulate this estimate the Department relied on industry sources from the commercial real estate industry to calculate the size of the health club industry in relation to the overall amount of commercial property in the state. Passage of SB 365 would decrease property tax revenues by adding a property tax exemption. The state funds directly affected by this bill are the two building funds, the Educational Building Fund (EBF) and the State Institutions Building Fund (SIBF). The Department of Revenue estimates this bill would decrease revenues to these two funds by \$15,000 in FY 2013, with \$10,000 from the EBF and \$5,000 from the SIBF. The bill would also have an effect on state expenditures for aid to school districts. To the extent that school districts would provide additional state aid through the school finance formula. The Department of Revenue estimates the additional state expenditures for aid to schools would be \$200,000 in FY 2013. The bill would also decrease revenues to any local government that levies a property tax.

The Department of Revenue indicates the bill would require \$1,575 from the State General Fund to update publications and sales tax exemption certificates. Any fiscal effect associated with SB 442 is not reflected in *The FY 2013 Governor's Budget Report*.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Anderson, CPA, MBA Director of the Budget

cc: Steve Neske, Revenue