SESSION OF 2011

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE SUBSTITUTE FOR HOUSE BILL NO. 2267

As Recommended by Senate Committee on Local Government

Brief*

Senate Sub. for HB 2267 would make three changes to the Kansas Uniform Common Interest Owners Bill of Rights Act that would apply only to an association for a common interest community for a recreational lake development where less than half of those units contain a residence:

- It would exempt this type of common interest community from a prohibition on suspending a unit owner's right to vote except involving issues of assessments and fees.
- It would exempt these associations from bylaws requirements.
- It would allow such an association to deliver any required notice for meeting other than the annual meeting by posting the notice on the association's website or by posting a notice on a bulletin board in the association's office, by sending a notice by electronic mail if the unit owner requests such notice, or by posting a sign containing one meeting notice at the main entrance to the community.

^{*}Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org

Background

As introduced, HB 2267 would have required the Kansas Corporation Commission to issue a biennial report on electric supply and demand for all electric utilities in Kansas, beginning February 1, 2013. The report would include, but not be limited to, generation capacity needs, system peak capacity needs, and renewable generation needs associated with the 2009 Kansas renewable energy standards. Those contents are included in SB 224.

The substitute bill is similar to the contents of SB 114 as amended by the Senate Committee of the Whole.

Senator Apple testified in support of SB 114, and a representative of three common interest communities in Linn County submitted written proponent testimony. Opposition testimony was received from a private citizen who lives in a common interest community. There was no other testimony.

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget on the original SB 114, there would be no effect on the state budget, and the bill was not expected to have any effect on city our county budgets.