SESSION OF 2012

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE SUBSTITUTE FOR SUBSTITUTE FOR SENATE BILL NO. 148

As Recommended by House Committee on Agriculture and Natural Resources

Brief*

House Sub. for Sub. for SB 148 would address the division of water rights and the issue of the treatment of water permits for sand and gravel operations.

Division of Water Rights

The bill would explicitly codify the authority to divide water rights by stating that an owner of a water right that is not abandoned may divide the water right into two or more distinct water rights without losing priority. In order to divide the water right, the owner must:

- Notify the Chief Engineer in writing of the proposed division, with the written consent of all persons that have an ownership interest in the water right;
- Designate the relative priority of the divided water rights;
- Demonstrate to the Chief Engineer the division is reasonable and would not increase consumptive use; and
- Demonstrate to the Chief Engineer the request does not violate the Kansas Water Appropriation Act.

^{*}Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org

If the Chief Engineer finds the above four requirements are met, the Chief Engineer then must issue an order dividing the water right and describing the terms and conditions of each water right. Acceptance of the request to divide a water right would not authorize any change in the place of use, point of diversion, or the use made of the water. Upon finding the four requirements are not met, the Chief Engineer would return the division request and take no action.

The bill would provide that if a judicial determination should occur regarding ownership interests and that the determination would result in a partition of a water right not deemed abandoned, the Chief Engineer must then issue an order dividing the water right in accordance with the judicial determination, to the extent the determination does not violate the provisions of the Kansas Water Appropriation Act.

The bill states each division request submitted to the Chief Engineer would be assessed a \$300 fee. Funding from the fee would be remitted to the State Treasurer.

Water Permits for Sand and Gravel Operations

The bill would address the permits to appropriate water for sand and gravel operations by requiring that the permit authorize net evaporation as the primary use and hydraulic dredging and sand washing as a secondary use of water. Secondary uses would use water in a manner in which there is not significant net consumptive use. The secondary uses would be granted for the proposed life of the project or the exhaustion of reserves. The Chief Engineer could approve a permit in a closed area or waive safe-yield if the applicant for the permit demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Chief Engineer that the operation is required to be located in the proposed area and there is no available off-set within 3.5 miles of the operation location. Applicants who are denied a project permit by a final order of the Chief Engineer would be able to appeal the decision. The bill would provide for a project application permit fee of \$500, with any requests for

modification to be accompanied by a \$250 fee.

Background

House Sub. for Sub. for SB 148 is comprised of the original SB 148 and the original concepts contained in HB 2698. After the hearing on HB 2698, the Chairperson of the House Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee asked the interested parties to meet. The provisions of the bill relating to sand and gravel operations reflect the outcome of the meetings of the interested parties.

SB 148 Review

A representative of the Division of Water Resources, Department of Agriculture, provided testimony in favor of the bill, stating the bill makes the agency's current practice of dividing water rights explicit in statute and provides for a fee of \$300 for the division, regardless of the number of wells involved. A representative of the Kansas Farm Bureau also provided testimony in favor of the concepts presented by the bill.

The majority of the bill's language was originally contained in New Section 1 of SB 272, which was introduced by the Senate Committee on Agriculture at the request of the Department of Agriculture. Before the Senate Committee passed SB 272, New Section 1 was removed from the bill. A hearing later was held by the Senate Committee on SB 148. The Senate Committee removed the contents of the original bill and inserted language into SB 148 that resembles New Section 1 of SB 272.

The Senate Committee approved several technical amendments to the bill, as recommended by committee staff. The Senate Committee then recommended the contents of SB 148, as amended, be placed in a substitute bill.

The Division of the Budget provided a fiscal note for the original version of SB 148, which states there would be no fiscal effect. An updated fiscal note for the bill was not available at the time of the Senate Committee hearing.

HB 2698 Review

At the hearing before the House Agriculture and Natural Resources Committee on HB 2698 proponents included two spokespersons for the Kansas Aggregate Producers' Association and three individuals who are aggregate producers. The Chief Engineer gave neutral testimony and a spokesperson for the groundwater management districts spoke in opposition to the original bill.

The fiscal note on the original HB 2698 indicates that there would be no impact to the Department of Agriculture.