memorandum

DATE: February 4, 2004

- TO: Senator Stan Clark, Chairperson and Members Senate Committee on Utilities
- FROM: DAVID LAKE
 - RE: SCR1616

Mr. Chairman, members of the committee; Thank You for the opportunity to provide supporting testimony for Sena Concurrent Resolution 1616. I am presenting this testime not only as the Administrator for the State Board of EMS but also as the chairperson of the Kansas Public Safety Communications Committee.

Very shortly after the horrendous events of September 11, 2001; former Governor Graves asked state agencies to identify vulnerabilities to consider in planning for the safety of the State in the event of a terrorist event. Board of EMS is a regulatory agency that oversees the licensed ambulance services and provides technical suppor as requested. We do not treat or transport patients so is hard to imagine that our agency would be much of a target. My report to the Governor's office and the Join Committee on Homeland Security reflected those thoughts I I did stress a statewide concern that in the event of a mass-casualty incident, regardless of the cause, the ability to communicate among responding agencies was a major concern and has the great potential for delaying the prompt mitigation of the event. At the present time in almost every community in Kansas, Law Enforcement, Emergency Medical Services, Fire Service, Public Health, and Public Utilities all communicate by radio on differe frequencies and lack the ability to readily communicate with each other when necessary. Mine was not the only agency to recognize and mention this concern. The resul was the establishment of the Kansas Public Safety Communications Committee. Of primary concern to this committee is the issue of inter-agency interoperability the ability for multiple agencies to communicate through wireless transmission of voice and data.

I believe there are two questions we need to ask with regard to this issue: 1) How important is wireless interoperability of voice and data to the public safety/service providers of Kansas?; and, 2) Is it necessary to have this capability on a 24 hours/day, 7 da a week basis? Our committee and my agency believes the answer to both questions is a resounding YES.

To provide "round the clock", statewide coverage and capability, there needs to be a single system (infrastructure) that allows for the inclusion of all interested public safety agencies. The present 800 MHz system developed by KDOT, with continued development, has this potential.

I believe the adoption of this resolution is vital to resolving the wireless communication issues in our state for several reasons: 1) it sends the message that State Government is aware of the need for a reliable, statewide communication plan; 2) it allows for the various state agencies to have a single system and network for interagency communication; and, 3) it allows for the establishment of a system that local governmental agencie can plan to participate in **if they wish**. It must be recognized that presently several municipalities have established their own 800 MHz system. The statewide plan should allow for them to be included.

While several municipalities have established their own 800 MHz system, most others have not and are reluctant to become a part of the State's system. The leading reasons given are the cost of equipment and no assurance that the system will be universally adopted. The plan proposed by KDOT addresses these issues by formally establishing a statewide communications plan and has provisions for methods of assisting municipalities in obtaining the necessary equipment.

In closing, I ask that you act favorably on SCR 1616 in supporting KDOT and the statewide public safety desire for a system that allows for wireless interoperability. will be glad to respond to any questions you may have wir regard to this issue.