Streamlining Government through Privatization and Public-Private Partnerships

Overview and Best Practices



January 30, 2013
Testimony to the Kansas Senate Committee on Ways and Means
Topeka, KS

Leonard Gilroy & Harris Kenny Reason Foundation

"Privatization" defined



- An arrangement between governments and private sector to deliver public services & assets.
- Also called public-private partnerships (PPPs), contracting out, outsourcing, competitive sourcing, competitive tendering, etc.
- Ranges from simple partnerships to large-scale asset sales and joint ventures; taps private capital in most powerful versions.
 - Corporate sponsorships; naming rights; volunteer initiatives
 - Service contracting; outsourcing; competitive sourcing
 - Infrastructure PPPs: combination of design, construction, financing and/or O&M in delivery of assets; leveraging private capital
- Now a proven policy management tool to deliver better services at a lower cost—BUT, process is complex, requires care & best practices.
- PPPs introduce <u>competition</u>; promotes innovation, cost savings, efficiency in serving the shifting demands of customers.

Common goals of privatization & PPPs



- Cost Savings
 - Rule of thumb—10-25% on average (+/-)
- Service/Quality Improvements
 - Competitive bidding; performance guarantees
- Innovation
 - Static processes, red tape obstacles to public sector innovation
- Enhanced Risk Management
 - Key risks (cost, delivery, liabilities) can be transferred from public to private sector
- Accelerated Delivery
 - Competitive contracting, performance incentives
- Deploying private capital to finance assets/services
 - Toll roads, higher education facilities, parking assets, courthouses, etc.
 - Social impact bonds (aka social innovation funding) in recidivism, workforce

Privatization approaches becoming common for a range of services/assets



Vehicle fleet operations, maintenance & ownership

Outsourcing

- Core IT infrastructure & network, web & data processing
- Administrative support services (e.g., HR, payroll, accounting, mail, printing, etc.)
- Risk management (claims admin, loss prevention, etc.)
- Healthcare & welfare program administration & management
- Park operations & maintenance
- Asset maintenance
- Lottery operations and sub-functions
- Parks operation, maintenance and concessions
- Higher education facilities (including financing), maintenance & non-instructional services
- Facilities financing, operations & maintenance (e.g., public buildings, schools, hospitals, courthouses, parking assets)
- Core infrastructure (e.g., roads/transit, water/sewer, airports)



State policymakers are thinking bigger on privatization and PPPs



Examples:

- *Illinois*: Launched 10-year lottery management contract in 2011 to generate hundreds of millions in new state revenues. IN signed similar deal in 2012, and PA in 2013. NJ now in procurement.
- *Ohio*: converted state economic development agency into a nonprofit corporation; sold state prison to contract operator; Ohio State University entered into 50-yr, \$483M lease of parking assets in 2012.
- California: Became 1st state to use "whole park concessions" for private operation of several state parks in 2012 to avoid closure.
- Virginia: has used PPPs to develop new highways, modernize state
 IT architecture, revamp Interstate maintenance and more.
- Puerto Rico: since 2010, entered into deal to rebuild 100 K-12 schools; signed \$1.4 billion toll road lease; initiated San Juan airport modernization procurement.

States increasingly turning to infrastructure PPPs



- Fiscal woes expanding interest in PPPs that tap private \$\$ to modernize/deliver new infrastructure.
- Transportation is leading edge of U.S. infrastructure PPP market:
 - Over 35 states have passed transportation PPP enabling legislation.
 - Recent PPP legislation in Ohio, Illinois, Connecticut, Pennsylvania.
 - Over \$7B in Texas highway PPP projects under construction; over \$2B underway in Florida and Virginia each.
- PPP wave is starting to broaden to include social infrastructure:
 - Virginia's Public-Private Education Facilities & Infrastructure Act (2002)
 - Puerto Rico's PPP program (2009)
 - TX Senate Bill 1048 (2011): PPPs for schools, water & wastewater projects, transit, ports and other public use facilities.

State privatization spotlight: Louisiana



- December 2009: Commission on Streamlining Government identified 238 recommendations to save over \$1 billion through privatization, streamlining, consolidation, and elimination of government activities.
- Numerous privatization initiatives done or underway under Gov. Jindal's administration, including:
 - Medicaid program (shift from state-run to private managed care)
 - Risk management functions (claims management & loss prevention)
 - IT support services
 - Rental car services (to replace state vehicles)
 - Correctional pharmacy services
 - Third-party admin for PPO health plan for state employees/retirees
 - Substance abuse treatment centers
 - Developmental disability group homes
 - State-run medical and psychiatric hospitals
 - Medical care in Veterans' homes

States not alone...local policymakers continue to embrace privatization



Examples:

- Chicago: dozens of services competed and \$3B in asset leases under former Mayor Daley. Mayor Rahm Emanuel implementing managed competition for recycling services, new \$1 billion infrastructure bank.
- Indianapolis: pioneered managed competition (public/private competitions) in the 1990s; recent initiatives include grounds & facility maintenance, towing services, water maintenance, payroll management, fleet services, landscaping, payroll, HVAC & more; entered into 50-year, \$620 million parking meter lease in 2011.
- Charlotte: 16+ year successful managed competition program; dozens of city services competed (public vs. private).
- •GA Contract Cities: Sandy Springs incorporates as "contract city" in 2005; contractors provide nearly 100% of non-safety operational and admin services; other cities incorporated & use similar model; now ~150,000 Metro Atlanta citizens served by largely privatized city gov't.

Local privatization spotlight: Tulsa, OK



- Mayor Dewey Bartlett faced major deficit upon taking office in late 2009.
- Hired KPMG to prepare city strategic operational review.
- KPMG identified 298 managed competition opportunities alone; more in asset PPPs. Examples:
 - Asset maintenance
 - Solid waste collection
 - Claims processing
 - Aging services
 - Water quality analysis

- Building operations
- Traffic operations
- Road maintenance
- Recreation services
- Drainage maintenance
- Mayor created Management Review Office to guide implementation.
- City has launched managed competition program; building maintenance was first service competed.
- Sold vacant city hall for \$1M; transferred zoo & animal shelter to private operators.

Keys to successful privatization: Global best practices



- Rethink the status quo.
 - Ask the "make or buy" question
 - Yellow Pages Test: compete commercially available functions
 - Conduct business case/value-for-money analysis for projects to frame the option set; evaluate tradeoffs.
- Utilize "best value" contracting.
 - "Low bid" isn't necessarily the "best" bid—governments should choose the best mix of quality, cost and other factors
- Establish PPP "center of excellence" to guide process, implementation; can be formal or informal.
 - Central management, consolidated expertise
 - Enterprise-wide approach brings consistency
 - "Best value" selection

Keys to successful privatization: Global best practices (cont'd)



- Use performance-based contracting.
 - Develop performance metrics and goals, and build these goals and benchmarks into the contract.
 - Tie vendor payment to performance.
 - Financial incentives for increased productivity/quality, lower costs.
 - Financial penalties for poor performance, rising costs.
- Develop strong oversight and monitoring and protocols before entering into a contract to ensure compliance.
 - Government's role does not end with contract signing; rather, role shifts to rigorous monitoring and contract management.
- Seek opportunities to bundle services for better value.
 - Contracting services "piecemeal" may miss savings opps.
- Communicate early and often with stakeholders, public, media.

Keys to successful privatization: Global best practices (cont'd)



- Establish public-private cost comparison guidelines.
 - "Apples-to-apples" comparison tricky due to government accounting.
- Build and use real property inventories to actively manage asset/real estate holdings.
 - Knowing what you own: central record of public land and assets built within a geographic information system; ties maps and asset data.
 - Facilitates better asset management and divestiture opps.
 - Georgia: 2005 order for statewide inventory & admin support; state created realpropertiesgeorgia.org; by 2010 had sold off \$43.2M in surplus property; saved \$8.5M through renegotiated asset leases.
- Divest non-core government assets.
 - Asset sales and leases can right-size asset holdings; generate revenue; lower maintenance costs; improve space utilization.
 - Return tax-exempt properties to tax rolls.

Common privatization myths



Myth: Privatization is partisan, a creature of the right.

- Fact: Privatization is used by leaders from both major parties.
 - More federal services privatized under Clinton than Reagan.
 - Former Indy Mayor Stephen Goldsmith (R) identified \$400 million in savings and opened up over 60 city services to competition.
 - Former Chicago Mayor Richard Daley (D) subjected more than 40 services to competition and generated over \$3 billion in asset leases.

Myth: Privatization brings a loss of public control.

- Fact: In well-structured privatization contracts the government and taxpayers gain control and accountability, rather than lose it.
 - Failure to meet the contractual performance standards could expose the contractor to financial penalties, termination of the contract.
 - Can guarantee a higher level of accountability via contract than in public sector, due to civil service laws, bureaucratic inertia, etc.

Common privatization myths (cont'd)



Myth: Privatization hurts public employees.

- •Fact: Most privatization initiatives result in few, if any, layoffs.
 - Often, many employees will shift from gov't to contractor at similar pay, though less generous benefit packages.
 - Remainder often move to other gov't positions or retire early.
 - New opportunities for upward professional mobility in shift from gov't to private industry.

Myth: Privatization always saves money.

- •Fact: Process matters, and poorly designed procurements tend to yield poor results.
 - Lack of robust pool of competitors can limit savings potential, may be due to geography, scope of services sought, other factors.
 - Sometimes, quality—not cost savings—is the primary driver, getting better results for a similar cost.

Questions?



Leonard Gilroy

Director of Government Reform, Reason Foundation leonard.gilroy@reason.org
(713) 927-8777

Harris Kenny

Policy Analyst, Reason Foundation harris.kenny@reason.org (201) 650-5445