## SESSION OF 2014

## **SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON HOUSE BILL NO. 2568**

As Amended by House Committee on Judiciary

## **Brief\***

HB 2568 would amend the Kansas Family Law Code. In parentage proceedings, the bill would allow the court to award costs and attorney fees to either party as justice and equity may require and, unless the attorney represents a public agency in an action, could order that the amount be paid directly to the attorney, who may enforce the order in the attorney's name in the same case. Further, the bill would strike language prohibiting fees for representation of a petitioner by the county or district attorney.

After the filing of a petition for divorce, annulment, or separate maintenance and during the pendency of the action until the entry of final judgment, the bill would allow the judge assigned to hear the action to modify and vacate certain orders, including temporary custody orders, orders restraining the parties from disposing of property, and orders requiring mediation. Judges already have authority to make and enforce these orders.

In child support proceedings, the bill would require a person who files a motion to request or modify a child support order to include a completed domestic relations affidavit and proposed child support worksheet. In making a child support award, the bill would strike language requiring the court to consider "all relevant factors, without regard to marital misconduct, including the financial resources and needs of both the parents, the financial resources and needs of the child, and the physical and emotional condition of the child."

<sup>\*</sup>Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.kslegislature.org

Instead, the bill would require the court to follow the Kansas Child Support Guidelines (the Guidelines). The Guidelines are created by the Supreme Court based on "all relevant factors, including, but not limited to: the needs of the child; the standards of living and circumstances of the parents; the relative financial means of the parents; the need and capacity of the child for education; the age of the child; the financial resources and earning ability of the child; the responsibility of the parents for the support of others; and the value of services contributed by both parents."

The bill would allow the court to make a modification of child support retroactive to the first day of the month following the filing of the motion to modify, replacing current law that allows the court to make the modification retroactive to a date at least one month after the date the motion was filed. Any retroactive award would not become a lien on real property until the date of the order.

In a proceeding to determine child custody, residency, and parenting time, the bill would modify the factors courts must consider. The bill would strike language requiring the court to consider "the length of time the child has been under the actual care and control of any person other than a parent," and would add the following factors: the age of the child; the emotional and physical needs of the child; the ability of the parties to communicate, cooperate, and manage parental duties; the school activity schedule of the child; the parties' work schedule; the location of the parties' residences and places of employment; and the location of the child's school. Further the bill would clarify the court would consider the desires only of a child "of sufficient age and maturity" and must consider evidence of both physical and emotional spousal abuse.

The bill also would make many technical amendments.

## **Background**

In the House Judiciary Committee, representatives of the Kansas Bar Association and Kansas Judicial Council offered testimony in support of the bill. The representative of the Judicial Council explained the majority of the bill cross-references other articles of the family law code and would delete redundant provisions from the parentage act. Additionally, the bill would make changes to the law in response to recent case law concerning modification of child support orders and when a domestic relations affidavit must be filed. Finally, the representative explained the bill would modify the factors for determining child custody as the current factors are based on a third-party custody case, which are not applicable in most residential custody orders. Other statutory provisions specifically address third-party custody. No opponents offered testimony.

The House Committee amended the bill to remove a section that would have amended how courts determine custody, residency, and parenting time in paternity proceedings so that a separate bill can be introduced for further consideration of this issue.

The fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget indicates passage of the bill, as introduced, likely would have no fiscal effect on the Kansas Department of Children and Families. The Office of Judicial Administration indicates passage could increase expenditures for the Judicial Branch, as it would increase time spent by judges hearing child support matters and by nonjudicial personnel in processing, researching, and hearing cases. The precise impact cannot be determined at this time, however.