

Testimony of the Kansas Association of Counties To the Senate Transportation Committee on HB 2095 March 14-15, 2017

Mr. Chairman and Members of the Committee:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify as a *neutral* conferee on HB 2095. Our testimony is offered on behalf of the Kansas Association of Counties and the Kansas County Highway Officials Association, an affiliate organization of KAC, which is comprised of county engineers and road supervisors throughout the state.

I first want to compliment the parties working on this legislative issue, proponents and opponents. KAC has found both sides helpful in sharing information and communicating with us as we examined the legislation and its impact on counties.

Kansas counties support the agricultural economy and recognize its positive effects on both the state and local units of government. We want to promote the ag industry when possible. However, Kansas counties also own and maintain 109,000 miles of roads, constituting 82% of all the roads in Kansas. We own and maintain 19,468 bridges--77% of all the bridges in Kansas. As such, heavier trucks are always a concern for us because of the impact on both roads and bridges.

We believe HB 2095's proposal to increase truck weights to 90,000 with the addition of a third axle is a responsible way of approaching the increased weight. So long as the amount of material hauled over roads remains the same, and the legislation does not increase traffic, we are not anticipating significant damage to our roads.

In analyzing this legislation, the county engineers and road supervisors quickly moved off any concerns about the roads and focused on the impact on bridges. Bridges must be inspected for the increased weight to determine if they are safe. As noted, we have over 19,000 bridges in the state. The timing of this legislation is impeccable, however, as the Kansas Department of Transportation is currently undertaking a statewide bridge inspection, and that project can incorporate the new weight of 90,000 during its analysis. The KDOT load rating project is funded with federal bridge replacement funds. We are concerned about the reliability of the state funds for the bridge inspection. While this statewide inspection is a requirement regardless of HB 2095, we do want to raise this issue before the committee to express our concerns that the load rating

project be fully funded with state matching funds and federal funds, and without additional costs passed to the counties. It should also be noted that KDOT has told us that the expected timeline for the bridge inspection project may take 8-10 years.

Another point of observation for the committee is that the railroads in Kansas pay approximately \$75 million annually in property tax. As you know, local government relies heavily on property tax (and the state also accounts for 20 mills for public education). We raise the question for consideration by the committee whether HB 2095 will impact the railroads to the detriment of the property tax base.

I am happy to stand for questions, but as I am not the subject-matter expert on roads and bridges, I may need to seek information from county officials and relay it back to the committee. We appreciate your consideration of our testimony and will work to answer any questions from the committee.

Melissa Wangemann Wangemann@kansascounties.org 785-272-2585 ext. 307