## CENTER for REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS

## **NEW YORK**

199 Water Street, Fl. 22 New York, NY 10038 TEL. (917) 637-3600

reproductiverights.org

January 14, 2021

The Honorable John Barker and Members of the House Committee on Federal and State Affairs

Re: Letter in Opposition to House Concurrent Resolution No. 5003

Dear Representative Barker and Members of the House Committee on Federal and State Affairs:

The Center for Reproductive Rights ("the Center") urges you to vote no on House Concurrent Resolution No. 5003 ("H.C.R. 5003"), which would nullify abortion rights currently afforded to Kansans under the state constitution. Such retrogression could have devasting and lasting consequences for women and families in Kansas and is prohibited by core human rights principles.<sup>1</sup>

The Center is a legal advocacy organization dedicated to protecting the right to access safe and legal abortion and other reproductive health care. For over 28 years, we have successfully challenged restrictions on abortion throughout the United States. In 2016, we won the landmark case *Whole Woman's Health v. Hellerstedt*, in which the U.S. Supreme Court struck down two Texas laws burdening access to abortion and reaffirmed the Constitution's robust protections for the decision to have an abortion. Just last year, the Center won an important abortion rights case, *June Medical Services v. Russo*, in which the U.S. Supreme Court struck down burdensome abortion restrictions and upheld protections for abortion care.

The Center strongly opposes H.C.R. 5003, which seeks to amend the Kansas constitution to provide that "the constitution of the state of Kansas does not require government funding of abortion and does not create or secure a right to abortion." This resolution is intended to reverse a Kansas Supreme Court decision holding that the state constitution provides strong protections for the right to terminate a pregnancy. If

New York · Washington, DC Bogotá · Nairobi · Geneva

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR), Gen. Comment No. 22: on the right to sexual and reproductive health (article 12 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights), para. 38, U.N. Doc. E/C.12/GC/22 (2016).

## CENTER for REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS

approved, Kansas would join only four other states whose constitutions contain this extreme language.

In its April 2019 ruling, the Kansas Supreme Court acknowledged that pregnant people in Kansas have the right to safe and legal abortion under the state constitution. The Court explained that its decision was based on the history and laws of Kansas:

[W]e reach our conclusion that section 1 of the Kansas Constitution Bill of Rights protects a woman's right to make decisions about whether she will continue a pregnancy based on several factors. These include an analysis of natural rights, Lockean principles, the caselaw of Kansas, the rationale and holdings of court decisions from other jurisdictions reviewing broad constitutional natural rights provisions or other provisions similar to ours, and the history of early statutes limiting abortion in Kansas. These factors lead us to conclude that section 1's declaration of natural rights, which specifically includes the rights to liberty and the pursuit of happiness, protects the core right of personal autonomy—which includes the ability to control one's own body, to assert bodily integrity, and to exercise self-determination. This right allows Kansans to make their own decisions regarding their bodies, their health, their family formation, and their family life. Pregnant women, like men, possess these rights.<sup>2</sup>

In order demonstrate your support for women and families in Kansas, we urge you to vote no on H.C.R. 5003, an extreme measure that would limit pregnant people's rights under the state constitution and further restrict their access to reproductive health care. It is critical for the health and safety of all Kansans to retain Kansas's constitutional right to abortion, which is based on the state's history, the language of its constitution, and its laws.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hodes & Nauser, MDs, P.A. v. Schmidt, 309 Kan. 610, 660 (2019).

## CENTER for REPRODUCTIVE RIGHTS

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Smith, Esq.

Chief Counsel, State Policy & Advocacy

Center for Reproductive Rights 199 Water Street, 22nd Floor

New York, NY 10038

esmith@reprorights.org