December 6, 2021

2021 Special Committee on the 30 x 30 Federal Initiative

To Committee Chair, Representative Ken Rajhes:

This testimony is in opposition to the 30 x 30 Federal Initiative Program, which President Biden's Administration's is attempting to enforce on American citizens (without informing the people of details or just compensation), thereby making it unconstitutional.

The Fifth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America ensures the right of American citizens to life, liberty and their own (private) property. However, the current Federal Administration is attempting to confiscate said private property under the guise of "due process"...under the facade of "conservation" and "protected areas" and "heritage areas." These federally "protected" areas are <u>not</u> for the betterment of the private land owners nor for the betterment of the state of Kansas, nor for the American people. Once land comes under these "protected" statuses, they will not be freed from those statuses, giving the federal government undue, unlimited, control over the land.

The question is, why is the federal government trying to "conserve" 30% of the land that composes the United States of America??? Note that large portions of this land are in the breadbasket of the US...land used for growing crops. This is a very dangerous thing, for if this land is controlled by the government, then they get to decide on whether or not the US will have "famine" at any certain time. Also, they will control the food supply of this country, which is also dangerous, as we are seeing with the current Administration's "no jab, no job" policies with the "covid-19 vaccines." Basically, if you go along with them and do what they want you to (even if it's not in your best interest), you can go on living fine. But, if you disagree, out of concern of the well-being yourself and your family, you will be punished. If they already have this type of policy regarding health and economy, why would they not also have the same policy with food? If you don't comply, no food. Potentially very dangerous for the America people...in fact, possibly lethal.

Also, I am unaware of any just compensation for the land that is "grabbed" by the current Administration in these "conservation" efforts. What could possibly compensate for land that has been in families for generations, which is their heritage, their livelihood, and their inheritances??? A place in the city cannot compare to the freedom and beauty of living in a rural area, on one's own private property. There can be no just compensation for taking these lands.

And, the current Administration would call some of these areas "heritage" areas...but the current Administration hasn't been working these lands with their sweat and blood and very lives. But the farmers and ranchers have been. That land is the heritage of the private land owners who have been working it for generations, who have been providing (quite sufficiently) for the American people's (as well as many people in other countries') needs for food. Taking those lands takes away people's right to live the type of life that they are gifted for...farming and ranching, working the land and looking after it. It takes away their freedom, their livelihood, and inheritances.

December 6, 2021

Now, the current Administration would have city people believe that they want to "conserve" this land that they want under their control. But the question is, aren't the current farmers and ranchers doing a great job of conserving their own private property?? Why would the farmers and ranchers do anything to hurt their land????? That is their livelihood. They can't make money to live on if the land doesn't produce crops to sell and grass for the livestock to eat. No, farmers and ranchers are very responsible and are doing excellent at conserving the land. If the current Administration wants to preserve animals....then the States should suspend commercial hunting. If the current Administration wants to preserve animals on their lands. It's all the people who don't own the land who are the worst abusers of it...NOT the private property owners.

Additionally, if these lands are taken by the current Administration, these families will not have a way to make a livelihood. They, and their children and grandchildren, will have nothing...no inheritance, no future. What the current Administration wants is for a bunch of (rural) people to move to the suburbs, where they have built a bunch of low-income housing. How is that compensation for the land???? It isn't. And it won't just affect the private property owners. It will affect all the rural communities, because rural communities' sustenance is from agriculture and the taxes and products and jobs produced from agriculture. No agriculture, no rural communities. So that means that you have a BUNCH of people (private land owners AND people from rural communities) moving to cities. But will there be jobs for all these people???? No. That's why the current Administration has build low-income housing...because they want people from rural areas to living in their ghettos (or concentration camps???), with no way to make a living...except to depend on the current Administration's "benevolence"....which will only be given IF these people comply with everything the Administration wants...even if it results in their harm. And what will that do to cities??? All of a sudden, there will be a huge increase of food necessary to sustain all the rural people to move to the cities, in addition to the current food needs of the current city citizens. But if "30% of American lands" is under "conservation," then there won't be even a fraction of the current amount of food being produced, resulting in famine. And what happens in times of famine??? Those in government positions and those who do what they want get the resources, and everyone else is left to starve. No, there is no just compensation possible for the land the current Administration desires to take to "conserve"...no just compensation for private land owners, no just compensation for rural communities, and not even for those living in cities. You see, if there is not sufficient food grown in the US, cities won't have food. The food in the stores comes from farms and ranches. The American people need the private land owners to continue to doing what they have been doing for generations...supplying the food needs of our country.

And, if the Committee doesn't think that famine and ghetto/concentration camps are possible, look at basically every "socialistic" takeover by governments in recent history: China, North Korea, Cuba, Venezuela, Russia. EVERY ONE of those countries have had similar measures that their governments have instituted. Taken private land "for public use"..."for the good of the people." And what was the result??? Famine. Hundreds, thousands, even millions of people starving to death. Genocide. Moving most of the population to cities, with extreme poverty that thousands of people live continually in even today, because the cities cannot sustain so many people. And even creating what are basically concentration camps for the most "undesirable" parts of the population. But that's not the heritage of the United States of America. Our country was founded to give the people living here FREEDOM from the corruption that inevitably comes with power. Those who created our government created it to be "for the people."

December 6, 2021

So I appeal to this Committee, please do what is best for THE PEOPLE YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO BE REPRESENTING. Please look to the good of our nation, as a whole, which can only be sustained by preserving the freedoms of its citizens...including our right to own and manage private property. If this freedom is not upheld, our nation will become like every other hellhole that "socialism" has produced. Please don't allow that to become the future of the United States of America.

If there are those of the Committee want to have socialism of land...the federal government to "conserve" American lands, how about let's start with your land...with your houses? You can give you your property and move to those suburban ghettos and tell us how good and just the compensation is? Or, you could always move to those countries who have initiated similar measures on their people, and tell us how you like it there?

If that doesn't sound favorable to you, please don't volunteer the rest of us to endure what you yourselves aren't willing to do. Lead by example. And please, do your job, to represent the BEST INTEREST of the people you're supposed to serve....not to enslave us. Please do what is right for your people.

Thank you for your consideration of this testimony in this matter of freedom for the people of Kansas and the USA.

Concerned US citizen, Kylie Worcester Kylie Worcester